Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/019,434	TOURNIER-LASSERVE ET
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Teresa E. Strzelecka	1637
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Teresa E. Strzelecka</u> .	(3)	
(2) Scott Lee.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 April 2007	Time:	·
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.	•	
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 16,25, 27		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		*
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	•	
	· · ·	; ;
Tersa Structura (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)
	•	- ' ' '

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Lee was contacted because, with the exeption of claims 16, 25 and 27, the claims are in condition for allowance. Claim 16 depends from a cancelled claim 1, claim 25 depends from claim 16, and claim 27 has a typographical error 1283->T, instead of 1283 C-> T. These deficiencies could be corrected by Examiner's Amendment. Mr. Lee concluded that he needed to contact the clienst to obtain their approval. No response was received by April 13, 2007.